PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE der the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/629,968 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date July 30, 2003 First Named Inventor **FORM** Arias et al. Art Unit 3672 **Examiner Name** Frank S. Tsay (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 020569-01400 (P203-1316US) Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC 1 Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(s) Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer below): Extension of Time Request 1. Comments on Statement for Reasons Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request for Allowance 2. Post Card CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Typed or printed name John Wilson Jones Date 4-04-04

Reg. No.

31,380

LOCKE LIDDELL & SAPP LLP

04-07-2005

John Wilson Jones

Signature

Date

Printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

## APR 1 1 2005 HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Arias et al.

Examiner:

Frank S. Tsay

Serial No.:

10/629,968

Group Art Unit: 3672

Filed:

July 30, 2003

Title: Storable Cementitious Slurries

99999999999

Containing Boric Acid and

Attorney Docket No. 020569-01400

Method of Using the Same

(P203-1316-US)

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants, contemporaneously with the filing of this communication, submit the Issue Fee of \$1,700.00.

In the Notice of Allowability dated January 27, 2005, the Examiner indicates his reasons for allowance of the claims:

The prior art of record including D'Almeida et al teaches a storable cement slurry using blast furnace slag however the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the use of boric acid in combination with suspending agent and a hydraulically active cementitious material wherein the amount of boric acid is between about 1-6% by weight.

However, not all of the claims of Applicants recite the weight limitation of boric acid to be between 1-6% by weight. In fact, Claims 6-23 do not even recite the amount of boric acid present in the cementitious slurry.

Applicants respectfully submit that the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the use of boric acid in combination with a suspending agent in a hydraulically active cementitious material. The Examiner's stated rationale places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

John Wilson Jones

Registration No. 31,380

LOCKE LIDDELL & SAPP LLP 3400 JP Morgan Chase Tower 600 Travis Houston, Texas 77002-3095

Telephone: (713) 226-1142 Facsimile: (713) 229-2570

Date: April 7, 2005

## **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)**

John Wilson Jones